

Dear AIB 2005 Panelist:

Thank you very much for having built a high quality panel proposal for the upcoming AIB 2005 meeting. In less than 2 months we will be happy to welcome you in Quebec city.

We thought it would be useful to send you instructions regarding the organization of the panel sessions. There are many things that each of us can do to ensure that the sessions are rewarding, and as best as they can be. Please find below suggestions prepared by former AIB program chairs.

We are sure many of you are very experienced at presenting conference papers while for others this will be a first time experience. In either case, we hope you will find these helpful.

It is now our procedure to include the ABSTRACTS of panels in the proceedings. The panel chair should upload the latest version of the panel's abstract at <http://frontpage.cbs.dk/aib2005/author/login.php> until Wednesday, **June 1st** for it to be printed in the proceedings.

Also, please keep in mind that AIB requires all panelists to be registered to the conference. Please do not delay registering for the conference. The regular registration deadline is **June 1st**.

1. GET ACQUAINTED WITH YOUR FELLOW PANEL MEMBERS

Please check the details of your session by going to the AIB 2005 website and clicking on:

<http://aib.msu.edu/events/2005/PreliminaryProgram.asp>

Once you have assembled everyone's email address, please email EVERYONE in your session. If you intend to make a formal presentation, please send them an electronic copy of your presentation. Everyone, not just the chair, should have a copy of all the presentations ahead of time. If you do not intend to make formal remarks, please let the other panelists know that. In this way, everyone will have a complete set of any formal presentations before the meetings start. Please read them ahead of time, at the very latest, on the airplane flight to Quebec. This way, each person can attempt in their presentation to link their remarks to the other presentations, making for a more cohesive and stimulating session.

2. EFFECTIVELY MANAGE YOUR PRESENTATION TIME

All sessions are one and one-half hours (90 minutes) long. To figure out how much time you should have for your presentation, divide the number of presenters plus 2 (1 for the time used by the discussant and chair and 1 for the time for Q&A) into the total number of minutes. For example, if there are three presentations, $90/5 = 18$ minutes each. In this case, plan for 15 minutes, so you have a bit of "wiggle room" in case you go a bit longer. If there are four presentations, $90/6 = 15$ minutes each; plan for 12 minutes. Therefore, the rule of thumb for a 90-minute session is:

- Three papers: 15 minutes each paper
- Four papers: 12 minutes each paper
- Five papers: 10 minutes each paper

If you do not have a discussant for your session, assume that the chair is playing this role and keep the same formula, unless the chair instructs you otherwise.

Would you please keep to this timetable as closely as possible? It is highly unfair to the other speakers if one speaker takes up more than his/her allotted time and therefore squeeze the time allotted for everyone else. The first speaker has the greatest responsibility in this regard because he/she controls the time for all the other presenters. Bring a stop watch or small clock and use it.

3. MAKE A QUALITY PRESENTATION

If you do plan to make a short audio-visual presentation, using an overhead projector these instructions may be helpful. To figure out how many individual overheads you can reasonably present within your time slot, divide the number of minutes you have by two (that is, if you have 15 minutes, prepare no more than 7 or 8 overheads). Your overheads should be printed in a minimum of 18-20 point font (preferably ARIAL as it is easier to read from a distance) in order for your text to be seen from the audience. Do use color if

you have access to a color printer. If you are using overheads, you might find it helpful to bring a few blank ones and an overhead marker with you to the conference, in case you want to make changes before the session.

The Academy of Management, at its 1999 conference, had a workshop on improving the effectiveness of AOM sessions. Please see specific suggestions they made in terms of WHAT TO present and WHAT NOT to present, in terms of the content of your presentation, which you may find useful in [the Appendix](#).

4. THE AUDIO-VISUAL EQUIPMENT

Overhead and computer projectors will be available in all rooms. Computers will NOT be provided. Authors need to bring their own laptops or coordinate with others to ensure that one person will have a laptop available.

5. OTHER SUGGESTIONS RE YOUR PRESENTATION

It is very helpful for session participants to meet 10 minutes BEFORE the session starts so that everyone can be introduced, the equipment can be checked, handouts can be distributed, and so on. Please come early to your own session. At the very least, your arrival relieves the chair of the anxiety of worrying whether you are there or not. It also means the session can start on time.

It also helps to give your session chair (and discussant, if there is one) your room number in the hotel, or a telephone number where you can be reached should an emergency occur. If that unwelcome emergency does occur and you cannot make the session, please let your session chair and discussant know ASAP. Please also inform the AIB Registration Desk at the conference so the information can be passed along to us.

I look forward to seeing you all in Quebec city!

Best regards,

Yves Doz
The Timken Chaired Professor
of Global Technology and Innovation
INSEAD, Fontainebleau, France

AIB 2005 Program Chair
e-mail: aib2005.fb@insead.edu

APPENDIX - The Role of the Presenter

* Abstracted with permission from "Making AOM Sessions Exciting!" by Jing Zhou (Texas A&M) and Russ Coff (Emory). The report was based on an AOM workshop, August 8, 1999, Chicago, IL, where the panel members were the two co-authors of the report, Sally Blount-Lyon (Chicago), Michael H. Lubatkin (Connecticut), Karl Weick (Michigan) and Edward J. Zajac (Northwestern).

Presenter: The presenter's job is to sell the paper and convince the audience that it is worth reading. This requires emphasis of the contribution rather than a summary of all sections of the paper.

The following are some ideas for how authors can get the audience engaged and excited about the paper. Most practices in the "DON'T" column are standard procedure and the suggestions may seem radical. However, the object should be a presentation that covers *less* but makes a compelling argument that the paper *should be read*.

PURPOSE OF PRESENTATION

DO NOT: Present summaries of all sections of the paper

DO: Present enough to tell the audience that the paper is worth a read - tell a good story. Focus on the contribution. Minimize discussion of sections that don't stress what is new & different.

PRESENTATION FORMAT & TIMING

DO NOT: Save the punch line as a sort of surprise ending Plan for 20 minutes in case there is extra time. Use small fonts or too many overheads.

DO: Consider starting with the conclusion and then explain why you reached it (e.g. methods/results). Provide a 1-page handout describing your contribution & key points. Plan for 10 minutes - it is easier to elaborate than to cut things out. Use fonts larger than 28 pt & no more than 10 overheads.

AUDIENCE INTERACTION:

DO NOT: Give a monologue describing your research

DO: Create expectations that you expect active audience participation. Survey/work the audience before the session starts. Look people in the eye and talk to them (not at them). Identify places for audience input and ask questions. Consider using brief exercises or scenarios that draw on the audience's personal experiences/knowledge. Offer an interactive data analysis ("mess with the data") by inviting the audience to make assumptions & suggest relationships to test.

INTRODUCTION:

DO NOT: Focus on why you decided to do the study. Be too conceptual

DO: Do focus on what is interesting and new about what you have learned Do try to start off with a real-world analogy/story

THEORY:

DO NOT: Present a broad literature review (cites, etc.) Explain every arrow in a complex figure

DO: State the problem, why it is interesting, and what you will add. Explain what is new in this model over past contributions.

METHODS:

DO NOT: Describe the sample measures, and validation of instruments

DO: Provide an overview of why the measures are linked to the theoretical construct. Establish face validity and assure that more rigorous methods were applied.

RESULTS:

DO NOT: Present any tables with numbers.

DO: Present what was significant (+ and - signs). Explain what the data tell you - not tests. People can read the paper to get details.

CONCLUSION:

DO NOT: Review each result and summarize what was significant.

DO: Answer broadly what we have learned and what needs to be done now. Urge the audience to read the paper for details.